



# UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE  
United States Patent and Trademark Office  
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS  
P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450  
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/803,805	03/18/2004	Aelan Mosden	FKL-005	5615

37694 7590 06/24/2008  
WOOD, HERRON & EVANS, LLP (TOKYO ELECTRON)  
2700 CAREW TOWER  
441 VINE STREET  
CINCINNATI, OH 45202

EXAMINER
----------

BAND, MICHAEL A

ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
----------	--------------

1795

NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
-------------------	---------------

06/24/2008

ELECTRONIC

**Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.**

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

dgoodman@whepatent.com  
usptodock@whepatent.com

<b>Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 10/803,805	<b>Applicant(s)</b> MOSDEN, AELAN	
	<b>Examiner</b> MICHAEL BAND	<b>Art Unit</b> 1795	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) MICHAEL BAND. (3)\_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Joseph Jordan. (4)\_\_\_\_\_.

Date of Interview: 17 June 2008.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference  
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.

If Yes, brief description: \_\_\_\_\_.

Claim(s) discussed: 3.

Identification of prior art discussed: Yes.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☒ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: Discussed After Final with regards to answering Applicant's Arguments but not entering amendments. Discussed certain language modifications; for example, wafer handling motions being too broad. Discussed prior art documents US Patent Nos. 5,364,219; 5,429,729; 5,620,578; 6,634,845. Possibility of interview prior to RCE or Appeal to discuss potential claim amendments and language modifications.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Alexa D. Neckel/  
SPE, Art Unit 1795

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required